

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/447,256	11/23/1999	NOBUYOSHI NAKAJIMA	2091-0205P	3582
7.	590 04/21/2003			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747			LAROSE, COLIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	J.
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	O

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Servers	09/447,256	NAKAJIMA, NOBUYOSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Colin M. LaRose	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the management patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a a. It is reply within the statutory minimum of thire individually and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	14 February 2003 .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alle closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	<u></u>				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	-1	0.440(.)(.)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	anta harra harra marakirad				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		nalization No			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom 					
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Arguments and Amendments

1. Applicants' arguments and/or amendments filed 14 February 2003, have been entered and made of record.

Drawings

2. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 14 February 2003. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over and U.S. Patent 5,109,281 by Kobori et al. ("Kobori") and U.S. Patent 5,410,609 by Kado et al. ("Kado").

Regarding claim 1, Kobori discloses an image processing method (figure 3) for obtaining a layout image signal representing a layout image, in which a plurality of person images are laid out, from a plurality of original image signals, each of the original image signals representing a person image, in which a face pattern of a person is embedded, the method comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2623

i) detecting face information from each of the original image signals, said face information representing a position of the face pattern of the person in the person image represented by each original image signal;

[In figure 3, a camera is set to image the left of two face images ("c-1", figure 2). The left face image signal is stored in memory, and the position of the face is detected (column 4, lines 50-51). This process is also repeated for the right face image.]

iii) performing a face pattern normalizing process on each of the original image signals based on said detected face information, a plurality of normalized image signals being obtained from said face pattern normalizing process;

[After the position of the left face image signal is detected, it is determined whether the positioning of the object is satisfactory, and based on the determination that the positioning is unsatisfactory, the imaging conditions are adjusted, and the adjusted image signal is stored (column 4, lines 53-57). This process repeats until the face is centered in the image. In other words, in accordance with the detected positioning information, the face image signal is continually adjusted until it becomes normalized (i.e. centered) in the image. This normalizing process is also repeated for the right face image signal.]

iv) laying out a plurality of images, which are represented by said normalized image signals, in a predetermined layout.

[After the normalization of both of the face image signals, the face images have been layed out in a format suitable for printing, and a print command is issued (column 4, lines 64-67). Kobori does not expressly disclose obtaining a layout image signal representing the thus

Art Unit: 2623

formed layout image. However, the layout signal is implicitly obtained, since the layout image is printed by a single print command.]

Kobori is silent to performing a pattern matching process, as claimed, to calculate an amount of displacement or size difference from a normalized value, and then using the displacement or difference for normalizing the face. Instead, Kobori relies on trial and error for normalizing the face. The position of the face is checked and repeatedly adjusted until it is satisfactory (figure 3).

Kado discloses a method for processing faces, and more specifically, the method relates to identifying a face in an image. Like Kobori, Kado normalizes the image of the face by correcting its position. Rather than relying on trial and error, Kado employs pattern matching to directly calculate the correction amount to be used for normalization. In particular, Kado discloses comparing a pattern of a standard (normalized) face to the inputted face pattern in order to detect spatial differences between the two, such as the tilt of inputted face with respect to the standard face. Based on the detection of these differences, normalization procedures such as enlargement, rotation, and reduction are carried out on the inputted face so that the image of the face becomes normalized. Column 3, lines 35-51.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kobori by Kado to perform a pattern matching process to calculate a displacement or size difference between the inputted face and a normalized value (i.e. normalized face) and then normalize the inputted face based on the displacement or difference since Kado's pattern

Art Unit: 2623

matching process for normalizing the face determines the necessary correction amount without relying on trial and error. Thus, Kobori's repeated adjustments and position checks do not have to be made.

Regarding claims 3 and 5, Kobori discloses using a computer-readable recording medium to perform the above steps (computer 7, memory 10, and monitor 15 of figure 1), and Kado discloses pattern matching means and normalization means (column 3, line 38: extracting unit 2, figure 1).

5. Claims 2, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobori and Kado in view of Stated Prior Art of U.S. Patent U.S. Patent 4,618,991 by Tabata et al. ("Tabata").

Regarding claims 2, 4, and 6, Kado teaches that the normalization is carried out by e.g. rotating the face to correct tilt (column 3, lines 43-51). However, Kado is silent to performing the face pattern normalization by utilizing affine transformation.

Tabata discloses a method for rotating an image. In particular, Tabata teaches that it is conventional to rotate an image using an affine transformation (column 1, lines 16-41).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kado by Tabata to utilize an affine transformation for the normalizing process, since Kado teaches that normalization is carried out by image rotation, and Tabata discloses that the use of an affine transformation to rotate an image is well-known.

Art Unit: 2623

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colin M. LaRose whose telephone number is (703) 306-3489. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au, can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Art Unit: 2623

CML

Group Art Unit 2623

8 April 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600